term as Secretary is foreign trade. As I stated earlier, agriculture enjoys a trade surplus. Furthermore, the early evidence indicates that farmers have greatly benefited from recent freetrade agreements such as GATT and NAFTA. I understand that Mr. Glickman's record has been supportive of agricultural trade, although he felt it necessary to vote against the GATT for other reasons. I would just urge Mr. Glickman to do everything within his authority to open new markets for U.S. agricultural exports. As chairman of the Finance Subcommittee on International Trade, I would be happy to work with him on this endeavor.

In closing, I would reiterate my support for the nomination of Daniel Glickman for Secretary of Agriculture and look forward to working with him in his new position.

Mr. LEVIN. Mr. President, I am pleased that the President has nominated and the Senate is about to confirm former Congressman Dan Glickman as the new Secretary of Agriculture. He has an encyclopedic knowledge of U.S. and international agriculture and the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Agriculture. He will make an excellent addition to the Cabinet. I strongly support his confirmation.

Secretary Glickman and I had a chance to talk recently about Michigan's agricultural picture. I did not have to spend a lot of time impressing him with my knowledge of the vibrancy and diversity of the agriculture sector in Michigan. He was already familiar with it, as he had the good fortune to attend college in Michigan.

Mr. President, I look forward to working with the new Secretary to promote and legislate wise agricultural policy and continuing his predecessor's efforts to improve efficiency at the Department in the coming years. I am particularly looking forward to working with him and the Department on promulgating a Federal marketing order for tart cherries, and getting some of Michigan's most abundant crops and agricultural products, like tart cherries, into the School Lunch Program.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The question now occurs on the confirmation of the nomination of Daniel Robert Glickman, to be the Secretary of Agriculture.

Mr. LUGAR. Mr. President, I ask for the yeas and nays on the nomination.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there a sufficient second? There appears to be a sufficient second.

The yeas and nays were ordered.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The question is, will the Senate advise and consent to the nomination of Daniel Robert Glickman, of Kansas, to be Secretary of Agriculture? The yeas and nays have been ordered. The clerk will call the roll.

The legislative clerk called the roll. Mr. LOTT. I announce that the Senator from Alabama [Mr. Shelby] is necessarily absent.

I also announce that the Senator from Kansas [Mrs. Kassebaum] and the Senator from Minnesota [Mr. Grams] are absent due to a death in the family.

Mr. FORD. I announce that the Senator from North Dakota [Mr. CONRAD], the Senator from North Dakota [Mr. DORGAN], and the Senator from New Jersey [Mr. BRADLEY] are necessarily absent.

I further announce that, if present and voting, the Senator from North Dakota [Mr. DORGAN] and the Senator from North Dakota [Mr. CONRAD] would eacy vote "aye."

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Are there any other Senators in the Chamber who desire to vote?

The result was announced—yeas 94, nays 0, as follows:

[Rollcall Vote No. 120 Ex.]

YEAS-94

McCoin

F'ord	McCain
Frist	McConnell
Glenn	Mikulski
Gorton	Moseley-Braur
Graham	Moynihan
Gramm	Murkowski
Grassley	Murray
Gregg	Nickles
	Nunn
	Packwood
	Pell
	Pressler
	Pryor
	Reid
Inhofe	Robb
Inouye	Rockefeller
	Roth
	Santorum
	Sarbanes
Kennedy	Simon
Kerrey	Simpson
	Smith
	Snowe
v	Specter
	Stevens
	Thomas
	Thompson
	Thurmond
	Warner
	Wellstone
Mack	W C1120011G
	Frist Glenn Gorton Graham Gramm Grassley Gregg Harkin Hatch Hatfield Heflin Helms Hollings Hutchison Inhofe Inouye Jeffords Johnston Kempthorne Kennedy

NOT VOTING-6

Bradley Dorgan Kassebaum Conrad Grams Shelby

So the nomination was confirmed. The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous order, the President will

be notified of this action.
Mr. LUGAR. Mr. President, I move to reconsider the vote.

Mr. LEAHY. I move to lay that motion on the table.

The motion to lay on the table was agreed to.

LEGISLATIVE SESSION

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senate will now return to legislative session.

EMERGENCY SUPPLEMENTAL APPROPRIATIONS ACT

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will report the pending business. The bill clerk read as follows:

A bill (H.R. 1158) making emergency supplemental appropriations for additional disaster assistance and making rescissions for the fiscal year ending September 30, 1995, and for other purposes.

The Senate resumed consideration of the bill.

Pending:

Hatfield amendment No. 420, in the nature of a substitute.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Oregon is recognized.

Mr. HATFIELD. Mr. President, I believe we were proceeding under a unanimous-consent agreement reached yesterday relating to the Daschle amendment being laid down at this time. Has that been vitiated?

The PRESIDING OFFICER. It has not.

Mr. HATFIELD. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that be vitiated at this moment, on the basis that Senator DASCHLE would like to take another opportunity to present his amendment.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. HATFIELD. Mr. President, let me just briefly outline the status of this bill, where we are.

I need not say that there are many amendments that we are aware of that have been indicated that many wish us to consider. I will say to the authors of each of those amendments that we are ready to consider those amendments and will be happy to do so.

I have checked with the Republican leader and the Republican leader has indicated support for the matter of pushing this bill to completion today. I say today, and possibly tomorrow—but tomorrow will be 12:01 a.m. onward, not beginning at 10 o'clock tomorrow, if we have to push it over. We are going to continue this bill through the night, if necessary into the a.m., in order to complete this bill.

So, consequently I think everyone ought to be on notice that the time agreements that everyone has been so cooperative on thus far, in reaching time agreements—we would like to be able to consider every amendment and we will consider every amendment, hopefully with some time agreement for each one.

I just make that comment because we must complete this bill tonight. We are, at the same time, I say to my colleagues, functioning on about eight subcommittees in conference on the first appropriations bill. We are doing that right now.

So we will accommodate each Member if we can have a little "heads up" as to the content of your amendments, so we may have the subcommittee chairmen present on the floor when you offer your amendment in order to engage in discourse. Those subcommittee chairmen are now with the House committee chairmen, working out the first supplemental appropriations bill. So give us a few moments in order to secure their presence on the floor to take up and discuss your particular amendment.

If it would be possible, I would like to have the listing, so we can get a little "heads up" ourselves, of what to expect